

Published on
<http://thomascaggiano.com/110221GR.pdf>

7086 Arcadia Glen Court
North Las Vegas, Nv 89084
Feb 21, 2011

Government Record Council
Attn: Sr. Case Manager Frank F. Caruso
101 South Board Steet
P.O. Box 819
Trenton, NJ 08625-0819

Subject: **New OPRA Request** and amendment and clarification of prior Requests in response to GRC OPRA Request 2010-20 dated Dec 13, 2010

1. This is a **VERIFIED CERTIFIED AFFIDAVIT** based upon my personal knowledge with adopted referenced exhibits and also adopts the published web site <http://thomascaggiano.com/>, its many published reports available on the directory via <http://thomascaggiano.com/pdf> and other extensive reports, copies of letters requesting criminal investigations by D-24 Representatives, and Federal representatives now shown on the web page directly including a letter from my State of Nevada Congresswoman Shelley Berkley to the U.S. Department of Justice's Office of Inspector General. Also included is direction to me from FBI Special Agent in Charge, Las Vegas, Nv for me to provide evidence to the FBI in New Jersey. Because of my concerns expressed to the Office of Inspector General, its Special Agent in Charge . To aide your understanding and clarification of my prior OPRA request, and for our community of Sussex County, we very appreciate your excellent, diligent service provide you a hard copy of THE CAG REPORT of Feb 15, 2011 that was forwarded to the Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Ethics that has launched initial investigations for massive violations of the Code of Ethics by the felons Richard A. Stein, Esq. and his accomplice William Hinkes, Esq. as ALL fines were VACATED on ALL malicious convictions by Judge Craig U. Dana, J.M.C. in response to my Pro Se motions provided to the corrupt Sussex County Superior Court of Judge N. Peter Conforti, J.S.C. as he with the Sussex County Prosecutor David Weaver, Esq. the former LAW PARTNER with William Hinkes, Esq., the Municipal Court of Green, Fredon, Hampton, and Borough of Andover, the Municipal Court of the corrupt Judge John Mulhern, J.M.C. in Stillwater, Stanhope and Newton and the corrupt Assignment Judge B. Theodore Bozonelis, A.J.S.C., the Vicinage Trial Court Administrator M. Arnold, and the Office of Administrator of the Courts for New Jersey's corrupt Chief Justice of the Supreme Court Stuart Rabner have ALL refused to provide me the court records of my petty disorder charges filed in the Borough of Stanhope to the Township of Green Joint municipal court. Per the Town Administrator's of each of the four municipalities, the OPRA custodians has informed me NONE can find a valid contract for William Hinkes, Esq. as in response to additional OPRA requests, the Town Administrator for Green had the OPRA requested diverted by William Hinkes, Esq. who responded "FOR THE FIRM" that there was NO contract other then some supposed instrument AFTER I was convicted on Jan 7, 2009 and sentenced in violation of court rules as already determined by the Superior Court in my municipal appeal. Court orders issued by Judge Bozonelis, AJSC under the still ripe Docket SSX-L-847-07 ordered the Borough of Stanhope to respond to my written OPRA requests for non-exempted Government Records which is contained as an order in Judge N. Peter Conforti's court order as he also vacated the probation, and made a determination on Sep 30, 2010 that Judge Dana, J.M.C. also violated court rules in his constant violaitons of court rules aided by Richard Stein, Esq. and William Hinkes, Esq. in Judge Dana's court order that a voluntary

mental examination was needed and never had any competency hearing wherein my own experts were allowed to confront the conflicting reports issued by Dr. Joseph, Phd and Dr. Peter Paul, Phd as I demanded my rights to have a recorded examination by video with a polygraph that I would provide all such funding so that the record could be used as evidence in a court per New Jersey court rules. This request was in fact never answered by the corrupt Sussex County Prosecutor in writing as court rules demand a competency hearing with confrontation, calling of witnesses and cross examination of which ALL were denied and the corrupt Commissioner of Human Services has denied access to my own records and its records even redacted records. I expect to submit a formal complaint against the corrupt Commissioner Jenn Velez, Esq. to the GRC however I have submitted a complaint to the Mercer County District Ethics Committee and the Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Ethics for her misconduct, lack of ethics and violations of Rules of Professional conduct, her complicity as her Staff engaged in fraud, mail fraud, abuse of court processes, obstruction of justice, criminal conspiracy and giving aide to others to prevent the detection and apprehension of the racketeering enterprise in the Borough of Stanhope.

2. The former Municipal Clerk Ms. Robin Kline, RMC, MAS also reported to the Department of Community Affairs directly she after contacting the firms John Cilo Jr. Associates, Omland Engineering Associates, John Cilo Jr., Scarlett Doyle and Eric Keller who posed as officials, prepared false Annual Financial Reports to the Department of Community Affairs' Local Finance Board could NOT produce any valid contracts as a resolution is NOT a contract but per the Local Public Contracts Law administrated by the Department of Community Affairs' corrupt Commissioners have also engaged in fraud. Per the OPRA response, the Chief of Staff for the current corrupt Commissioner Lori Grifa, Esq. who was also referred to the Mercer County District Ethics Committee and Office of Administrative Law as well as the GRC chair Ms. Robin Berg Tabakin, Esq., GRC executive director Catherine Starghill, Esq., In-house lawyer Karyn Gordon, Esq., the Office of Attorney General Attorney General Paula Dow, Esq., Director of Divison of Law Hanna, Esq. and GRC Deputy Attorney General Debra Allen, Esq. for violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct RPC 5.1(a) Every .. government entity .. shall make reasonable efforts to assure taht member lawyers.. undertake measures giving reasonable assurance that all lawyers conform to the Rules of Professional Conduct and (c) a lawyer shall be responsible for another lawyer's violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct. Whereas the Supreme Court court order to me has demanded I identify witnesses under two current dockets now before this Supreme Court investigator Harry Nolan, Jr. Esq. has been provided extensive evidence including the attached report and CDs with audio recordings which include such audio recordings including those published on <http://thomascaggiano> and its links on <http://thomascaggiano.com/page400.htm> which are adopted as exhibits to this OPRA request and clarifications previously requested in your excellent efforts to be fully responsive to aide our citizens of the State of New Jersey and assure an Ethical Government returns to New Jersey and the GRC. Lawyers must per RPC 8.3 Report professional misconduct of other lawyers RPC 8.3.a and of judges per RPC 8.3.b and have not but instead conspired with agreement as even Judge Louis Sancinito, J.M.C. who convicted me on a petty disorder charge filed by the corrupt Catherine Starghill, Esq. as a used purpose as a Stated conflict of interest as she also falsely stated she had filed criminal charges against me as there was NO indictment by any State Prosecutor requiring a trial before one's peers for all criminal charges. Based upon my Pro Se municipal appeals to Judge Ostrer, J.S.C. the State's Mercer County Prosecutor has requested the Superior Court to dismiss the charges by the State of New Jersey v. Thomas Caggiano by Judge Sancintio, J.M.C. who stated the planned efforts I described by the Division of Law's DAG Debra Allen, Esq., the GRC executive director Catherine Starghill, Esq. and Borough of Stanhope

“WERE INSIDIOUS”. Per RPC8.4 Misconduct was rampant by these lawyers as they violated the Rules of Professional conduct KNOWINGLY and assisted or induce another to do so or do so through the acts of another and knowingly assisted a judge or judicial officer in conduct that is a violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct or other law.

3. Whereas the Open Public Record Act’s entire purpose is to assure Openness of Government and the Open Public Record Act has very limited exceptions, by New Jersey’s Rules of Evidence Article II, Judicial Notice (a) Notice of Law and (b) Notice of facts and Article IV Rule 402 except as otherwise provided in these rules, ALL RELEVANT evidence is admissible in GRC determinations of Willful denial of access. The voting members MUST be in fact legally authorized to vote as a GRC panel member and any designated representatives MUST have written authority of the primary authorized voting member. Per the response to my written OPRA request, the Commissioner of Community Affairs’ Lori Grifa, Esq. Chief of Staff had NO such authority when she decided to represented the Commissioner of Community Affairs ONLY on my complaints which by its nature of things is a fraud upon the Public and in addition the GRC has contantly violated the Open Publc Meetings Act by NOT providing me agendas in advance as I expect the courts to remedy the situation as stated in the OPMA to expunge such actions by the GRC itself, issue civil fines, and in fact the investigators for the U.S.P.S. in Chicago, Il have been advised of criminal mail fraud, conspiracy and harassment of mailed GRC decisions to my home in Nevada across interstate lines which is also violation of many other federal U.S.C. Codes as I am also a witness to the U.S.P.S. in Hq, Arizone, Chicago, Il and New Jersey.

4. In your letter of Dec 13, 2010 you requested continued extensions of obtaining a copy of the requested audio recording. I suggest the following as a method of electronic delivery instead of the copy as .mp3 or other requested audio recorder. Whereas the recording of the May 30, 2007 Open Public GRC Discussion, simply play the recording into your telephone using my home telephone number 702-586-6768 as it will be electonically delivered at no cost and avoid additional efforts on your part for as you have indicted the responses to my requests are taking substantial time. This alternative is therefore a revised request to my Aug 20, 2010 request as the extensions have been accepted but since now that the Supreme Court has issued court orders to me to provide evidence I must demand a response and believe the use of simply playing your recording into a telephone which will be recorded on my voice mail is an acceptable no cost alternative.

5. To assure this prior request is made. I shall submit herein another OPRA Request:

a. I request an electronic copy of the 9 minute Open Public Meeting of the GRC of May 30, 2007 be provided by electronic means by transmitting to my home telephone by voice recording which can be made by playing the cassette in the GRC into PC or other directly by telephone or cell phone or ipad or other communication device be sent by telephone to my telephone at 702-586-6768 or supply the recording digitally in a .wav, .mp3 or other format as an attachment to an email addressed to thomascaggiano@yahoo.com and thomascaggiano@gmail.com.

b. Provide a copy of the handouts I provided the GRC as the corrupt Chair Ms Robin Berg Tabakin, Esq. falsely stated I was giving testimony, falsely stated the GRC per its rules could NOT changed the agenda to allow testimony at any time based upon a vote of its members, could extended the meeting time to another meeting date as she falsely stated there was NO time as she closed the meeting without any other speakers willfully to stop a presentation of greivances and she has with other corrupt GRC members ac-

cepted false, deceptive analysis by Catherine Starghill, Esq. and Karyn Gordon, Esq. and conspired to use the courts by being a complicit accomplice by trickery to obstruct the functioning of the GRC itself using "NO analysis" for false analysis by GRC lawyers to pretend to engage in lawful conduct but in fact is a corrupt GRC panel, a panel that conspires against the very purpose of the law creating the agency and therefore in my opinion is guilty of treason, and treachery in a planned, orchestrated act of confederated agreement in criminal conduct and thereby engaging in a repeated pattern of official misconduct in a structured organization defined by Justice Black in Krulewitch v. United States of America, 336 U.S. 440 (1949) as Conspiracy in an Enterprise violating RICO Statute's United States v. Turkette, 452 U.S. 576 (1981) in a "continuity of boht structure and personality" United States v. Bledsoe, 674 F. 2d 647, 665 (8 cir. 1982) in a patter noted in H.J.Inc. V. Northwestern Bell Telephone Co., 492 U.S., 229 (1989) and was related per 18 U.S.C. Section 3575(e) and as stated by Justice Blackmun in the individual must have some role in directing or managing the business of the GRC enterprise as "An enterprise is operated NOT just by upper management BUT also lower-rung participants in the enterprise.

6. I remind you of C.E.P.A. as RICO conspiracies can impose criminal liability to those who "participate .. in the conduct of [an] enterprise's affairs though a pattern of racketeering activity United States v. Elliott, United States of Appeals, 5th circuit, 571 F. 2d 880 (1978) and violate 18 U.S.C. Section 1962(c)

7. The copy of the handouts noted in the transcript record are published on <http://thomascaggiano.com/100725GRCtranscriptanalysis.pdf> you requested clarification are below;

VERIFIED CERTIFIED AFFIVADIT based upon personal knowledge of Thomas Caggiano, 7086 Arcadia Glen Court, North Las Vegas, Nv 89084, 702-586-6768 and 10 East Drive, Stanhope, New Jersey owned since 1972 to present by Thomas and Kathryn Caggiano and an analysis of transcript records made three years earlier - published on thomascaggiano.com/100725GRCcorruption.pdf

Tyranny in Government is exposed on <http://www.freedomnewsdigest.com> and <http://thomascaggiano.com>

To obtain print-out use <http://thomascaggiano.com/tyrannyexposed.pdf>

Criminal Code N.J.S.A.2C:2-2(1) Culpability - Purposeful: Complicity, Conspiracy, Obstruction of Government Function, Official Misconduct, Aiding others in criminal conduct, repeated pattern of official misconduct **Tort Claim Act, Title 59 Violations :** Breach of duty, outrage, negligence and cause emotional distress, defamation of character, kidnapping, obstructon of justice, violation of Ethics Code: Rules of Professional Conduct (RPC)

Evidence by Thomas Caggiano was provided to State of New Jersey's Department of Community Affairs' Government Record Council on Jul 25, 2007 in Open Public Session at Designated Location, 101 South Broad St., Trenton, N.J. 07860

Introductory comments to Annotated transript: Annotated comments made by Thomas Caggiano on Jul 25, 2010 as the corruption and cover-up con-

tinued in the corrupt Superior Court of Judge N. Peter Conforti, JSC in Sussex County Superior Court, Newton, NJ on my municipal appeal 14-04-08 and 19-05-19 and Indictment Nom 08-09-09 Dismissed with Prejudice on May 11, 2010. Judge Conforti's illegal court order was filed Jul 19, 2010. The excellent former GRC chairman Vince Maltese, Esq. brought in a court reporter to document on the own Government Record Council's web site the criminal conduct of the GRC panel members, its executive director Catherine Starghill, Esq., the in-house lawyer Karyn Gordon, Esq. and the corrupt Office of Attorney's Division of Law's Deputy Attorney General Debra Allen, Esq. as their criminal conduct continued relentlessly in Mercer County Superior Court of corrupt Judges Maria M. Sypek, P.J.Ch. and Judge N. Schuster, JSC under Docket No. MER-C-102-07 used to violate the Constitution of the United States of America's Bill of Rights from attending hundreds of Open Public Meetings at their designated locations in the Department of Community Affairs, and violating the Open Public Meetings Act and denying the OPRA by not providing me as requested copies of the exhibits noted in this Open Public Meeting Act Open Discussion period within the GRC and kidnapping me from its own Open Public Meetings with my complaints on the agenda against the Office of Attorney General's corrupt Department of Community Affairs and Borough of Stanhope. A massive amount of evidence against both these corrupt organization can be obtained by contacting the Department of Consumer Affairs OPRA custodian at 973-424-8111, dca.records@lps.state.nj.us and request a copy of the OPRA response provided to Thomas Caggiano's **OPRA request W49519** and you will be provided 1 inch of evidence from the Office of Attorney General's own Department of Community Affairs custodian. On Apr 5, 2007 I met representatives from the Department of Consumer Affairs, Division of Law's Michelle Albertson, Esq. and Board of Engineers and for 30 minutes on 2 CDs provided massive amounts of evidence against the corrupt Board of Engineers and Borough of Stanhope and Department of Agriculture and its Newton Based corrupt State Soil Conservation District that certified two false 1/2 project Soil Erosion and Sediment Control plans for Project 10902 Lots 10 and 12 on 2 and 6 Oak Drive in Stanhope, NJ 07874.

Over 2,700 pages of evidence against these organizations is in file box SH#44 in Sussex County Soil Conservation District as Open Public Records

Posted on <http://thomascaggiano.com/070725GRC.pdf>
and <http://thomascaggiano.com/100725GRCcorruption.pdf>

Abstract from Department of Community Affairs Government Record Council minutes published by the

<http://www.state.nj.us/grc/meetings/minutes/2007pdf/20070725Transcript.pdf>

State of New Jersey on Jul 25, 2007, abstracted pages 65-81

6 GOVERNMENT RECORDS COUNCIL MEETING

7

8 PUBLIC SESSION

9

10 **TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS**

11

12

13 AT: DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

14 101 South Broad Street - Conf. Rm 129

15 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0819

16 DATE: WEDNESDAY, JULY 25, 2007

17 TIME: 9:39 A.M. TO 11:21 A.M.

1 C O U N C I L M E M B E R S:

2

3 VINCENT P. MALTESE, Chairman (**Left room during Open Discussion
Period to allow record of corruption to be made on GRC web site**)

4 DAVID FLEISHER, Secretary

5 KATHRYN FORSYTH

6 JANICE L. KOVACH

7 ROBIN BERG TABAKIN

8

9 C O U N C I L P R O F E S S I O N A L S:

10

11 DEBRA ALLEN, ESQ.

12 MEAGHAN TUOHEY-KAY, ESQ.

13 CATHERINE STARGHILL, ESQ., Executive Director

14 KARYN GORDON, ESQ.

Page 51

17 MS. TABAKIN: Okay. Mr. Caggiano?

18 MR. CAGGIANO: Are any members of

19 the Press here?

20 name is www.thomascaggiano.com.

21 Some people call me Thomas Caggiano.

22 People are allowed to make motions

23 at the -- to Board because you use parliamentary

24 procedures. Since Catherine Starghill has

25 refused to talk with me and the case manager has

53

1 refused to talk with me about my complaints in

2 five or six months, I request a few extra

3 moments.

4 First I'd like discuss this

5 published report which is actually very good, but

6 it's nerving. It is dated July 8th, 2007 and

7 it's written by an excellent Star Ledger

8 reporter. I'll pronounce his last name -- spell

9 it, S-c-h-w-a-n-e-d-e-r-g. I'd like to discuss,

10 what was it, on page 16 which is in error. I

11 will quote what he said. It says:

12 The Government Record Council, the

13 agency set up to enforce OPRA, heard a complaint

14 last month against the State Division of Consumer

15 Affairs, which told a citizen -- which is me, by

16 the way, I'm that citizen, Thomas Caggiano -- he

17 could have two hours to inspect records for free,

18 but would be charged if he took longer.
19 That is incorrect. I was told that
20 I would not be allowed to look at anything after
21 two hours even if I paid. What the item was, was
22 five different complaints that I had filed
23 against four corrupt professional engineers and
24 also a professional planner. In addition, two of
25 my neighbors also filed separate reports, Mary
54

1 Pawr and Iqbal Pawr, I-q-b-a-l.
2 I complained against a person who
3 represented himself as the Borough engineer, John
4 Silo {Cilo}, Jr. of John Silo {Cilo}, Jr. Associates. And he
5 has had no contract for a generation. Every
6 claim he has submitted is fraudulent.
7 They also submitted a complaint
8 against the State's corrupt Sussex County Soil
9 Conservation District, Joseph Cenipi's {Sedivy}
10 (phonetic), Professional Engineer, because he
11 approved two false one-half project soil erosion
12 and sediment control plans for Stanhope's Block
13 10902, Lot 10. They were both determined -- the
14 first one was determined to be invalid four years
15 ago by the corrupt Executive Secretary James
16 Sadly (phonetic) of the State Soil Conservation
17 Committee after I sent him 100 e-mails.

{The certified Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plans certified by the corrupt Sussex County Soil Conservation District, A State organization under the corrupt State's Department of Agriculture were never given with a fee by E.N.F. Development Co. LLC Franklin Dawalt and Eleanor Dawalt to the Land Use Board as the first false 1/2 plan was for only Lot 10 and certified by Clifford Lundin, Esq the Sussex County Soil Conservation Board Chairman on Oct 8, 2002 and Mar 24, 2004. Obtain copy of letter to GRC and Borough of Stanhope dated Jan 18, 2007 for proof of knowledge and conspiracy with Office of Administrative Law and others who were made aware of criminal actions}

18 I was criminally convicted six times
19 based upon false information from both Clifford
20 Lundin, the Chairman and also the Manager
21 Winifred Straub. Those were kangaroo courts.
22 Mary Pawr appeared and she was told she was not
23 allowed to testify. And she had submitted her
24 own OPRA request to Stanhope for information on
25 these documents and was told she was not allowed
1 to get any documents at all on that minor
2 division project and I was not allowed to get
3 documents at all on the project.
4 MS. TABAKIN: Mr. Caggiano, what
5 does this have to do with OPRA and the GRC?
6 MR. CAGGIANO: This has to do with
7 the current complaint that you have before you

8 which I -- okay, what -- last month, apparently,
9 you had a meeting. I attempted to come to the
10 public meeting; however, at first I wanted to
11 advise the Smart Growth people of the data
12 contained within the carriage report of May 13,
13 2007 and also this CD which has 20 hours of audio
14 recordings. It has been provided to the person I
15 am now in contact with which is the Deputy
16 Attorney General of the United States of America.
17 I'm also in contact with the
18 Associate Attorney General, the FBI Director, the
19 Criminal Division Chief; the Public Integrity
20 Section Chief Ed Nuchi (phonetic) {Nucci}, who according
21 to the document I am going to give you as -- I'm
22 going to give you this exhibit, Exhibit 1, which
23 is a letter I wrote to Senator Giff (phonetic) {Gill}
24 which includes information --
25 MS. STARGHILL: Again, Mr. Caggiano,
56

1 does this have anything to do with OPRA, the
2 GRC --

3 MR. CAGGIANO: Yes.

4 MS. STARGHILL: -- or pending
5 complaints?

6 MR. CAGGIANO: Yes, it does. This
7 has specifically to do with a complaint that's in
8 limbo, GRC 2002 -- 2006-02.

9 I'd like to correct what Mr. Marcay
10 [sic] said, he was wrong earlier. You did find
11 not only the prior original custodian guilty on
12 December 14th, 2006 of violating four state laws,
13 you ordered them to deliver documents in five
14 days. They ignored you. On April 25th you then
15 found the Town administrator guilty. So you have
16 previously found a person other than the
17 Custodian guilty. You then ordered the Town to
18 answer "yes" or "no," do they have the document.
19 They have refused to answer you.

20 So the documents I requested, which
21 was in 28 areas on December 2006, are still in
22 limbo, 2006.

23 I have a letter from -- dated
24 February 2nd, 2007 from Director and Chief
25 Administrator Law Laura Sanders that says to
1 me -- this is the second time -- that I am
2 allowed interlocutory review of the case
3 GRC 09424-06A. That's where the GRC found the
4 Town guilty twice on May 11th, 2006 and again on
5 July 2006; of course, they {GRC cancelled it meeting} shutdown in
June
6 because of my complaints.
7 The SO1 --

{ On 18 Denials of Access I was confronted by corruption in OAL by the corrupt executive Department of Treasury OAL Judge James Geraghty, ALJ on the assigned Docket GRC 94224-2006N and he had a hearing to fine me \$24,000 as Mary Pawar, My wife kathryn and I Thomas Caggiano provided 4.5 hours of undisputed testimony under oath against the corrupt dozens of State and municipal officials as the corrupt Richard Stein, Esq and the OAL were provided an extensive chronology published on <http://thomascaggiano.com>.

Records in the Office of Gov data base and the corrupt Office of N.J. Attorney General's Department of Law and Public Safety are available on <http://thomascaggiano.com/071029GovCorzineOPRAdata.pdf>}

8 MS. STARGHILL: Mr. Caggiano, that
9 complaint that was at the Office of
10 Administrative Law is the complaint that you
11 submitted in writing your desire to have the
12 complaint withdrawn.

13 MR. CAGGIANO: Let me go with
14 background for two seconds.
15 What occurred was as follows -- I
16 did not ask for it to be withdrawn, ever.

17 MS. TABAKIN: All right. Let me
18 just point out that you've already been speaking
19 for over five minutes --

20 MR. CAGGIANO: Well, I --

21 MS. TABAKIN: -- I'm going to give
22 you a few extra minutes.

23 MR. CAGGIANO: Well, I believe it's
24 critically important.

25 Let me just give you these six

58

1 exhibits.

2 Okay. The first exhibit -- I'll

3 just list what they are.

4 The first exhibit is that the --

5 it's the -- if one goes into ask.com and types

6 "New Jersey Criminal Code," the first thing that

7 comes up on the web page is the corrupt State of

8 New Jersey and Borough of Stanhope. So that's

9 how you can find out how we are.

10 The second exhibit is a photograph I

11 took of a person that's Mr. John Silo {Cilo} standing

12 next to Mr. Franklin Dewalt {Dawalt} on 6 Oak Drive. The

13 deck is built on the wrong side of the house.

14 He's on fill. And this was done illegally as

15 confirmed by the finding on my successful

16 third-party appeal.

17 The next photograph is of two

18 State -- two corrupt State Sussex County Soil

19 Conservation District Field Inspectors Mr. Krause

20 and Mr. Goyle (phonetic), and they're standing on

21 Lot 10 looking at the two walls that don't exist
22 on the State's two certified half plans. Both
23 houses are built on fill.
24 Just to give you the current status
25 on my five-year investigation, first I'll tell
59

1 you --

2 MS. TABAKIN: Mr. Caggiano --

3 MR. CAGGIANO: Let me go through my
4 charts with you --

5 MS. TABAKIN: -- well, you are
6 giving testimony and that's not fair to other
7 parties.

8 MR. CAGGIANO: I just wanted to --
9 okay, I'm just going to give you a chart.

10 MS. TABAKIN: And we do not allow --

11 per the proposed rules, we cannot allow

12 testimony -- *{I am NOT under oath and GRC willfully stops Public
Grievance}*

13 MS. STARGHILL: -- unless

14 specifically requested by the GRC and that is in
15 our proposed rules.

16 MR. CAGGIANO: Are you allowed to
17 request testimony?

18 MS. STARGHILL: Yes, we are.

19 MS. TABAKIN: Not during public
20 comment session --

21 MR. CAGGIANO: Can you please make a
22 motion to request testimony?

23 MS. TABAKIN: Not during public

24 comments. *{THE GRC CAN CHANGE AGENDA but will close mtg}*

25 MR. CAGGIANO: Okay, what happens?
60

1 I have requested to be on your agenda many times
2 in writing, on an e-mail --

3 MS. STARGHILL: The GRC has to
4 specifically request testimony from the parties,
5 not --

6 MR. CAGGIANO: I have requested to
7 appear before this Board many times so I'd have
8 additional time other than five minutes. I've
9 done it in writing, I've done it in e-mail, I've
10 done it in faxes. In six months, Catherine
11 Starghill and your case manager have not talked
12 to me once.

13 MS. TABAKIN: Well, this is at the
14 discretion of the GRC.

15 MR. CAGGIANO: Well, when you send a
16 complaint out, it says, Here's your case manager,
17 you're allowed to talk to her. She refuses to
18 talk to me. Catherine Starghill refuses to talk
19 to me in six months. You found our Town guilty,
20 Highsport (phonetic), you found -- I don't even

21 know what happened to us last time because when I
22 contacted the Smart Growth people is they sent
23 the police after me to escort me out the
24 building. If I didn't leave the building they
25 were going to arrest me. So that's why I was
61

1 sitting outside this hallway -- -

2 MS. STARGHILL: Mr. Caggiano, my
3 feelings are hurt that you don't recall our
4 conversation of last week.

5 MR. CAGGIANO: I talked to you last
6 week, that's correct.

7 MS. STARGHILL: Okay.

8 MS. TABAKIN: Okay. We've more than
9 passed five minutes, actually.

10 MR. CAGGIANO: Okay, well, I'm just
11 going to give you these three other additional --

12 MS. TABAKIN: I have to ask you to
13 conclude your testi -- your comments.

14 MR. CAGGIANO: Yes. The last
15 comment is the excellent Stanhope Municipal Park, {Municipal Clerk
Robin Klein}

16 who've been cooperating with the federal
17 authorities, and I have agreed to mediation.
18 Your mediation statement doesn't make any sense.
19 Your mediation statement -- of course, I haven't
20 got time to discuss it, but whoever -- whatever
21 lawyer signed that mediation comment has to
22 reread it. If there's time for me to discuss it
23 with her, feel free to call.

24 MS. TABAKIN: Well, there isn't
25 right now, so thank you very much.

**{This is false as I am the last speaker and its only 11:15 a.m. The GRC will-
fully prevents discussion and then for years thereafter closes cases for de-
nial of access administratively without any analysis. A corrupt State or-
ganizaton that was formed to assure public access and transparency has
instead for years engaged in criminal conduct that continues through Jul
25, 2010 the date of this analysis}**

62

1 MR. CAGGIANO: Okay. I'm just going
2 to give you three more documents, though. These
3 are the status of who I believe are criminally
4 guilty and who I am currently talking to in the
5 Department of Justice who are investigating
6 Governor Corzine. Thank you.

7 MS. TABAKIN: Thank you.
8 Is there anybody else that wishes to
9 give public comment?

10 (No response from the Public.)

11 MR. CAGGIANO: Please accept -- who
12 should I give this to?

13 MS. TABAKIN: Okay. Can I have
14 motion to close the meeting, please?
15 MR. FLEISHER: So moved.
16 MS. KOVACH: Second.
17 MS. TABAKIN: Roll call.
18 MS. HAIRSTON: Robin Berg Tabakin?
19 MS. TABAKIN: Yes.
20 MS. HAIRSTON: Janice Kovach?
21 MS. KOVACH: Yes.
22 MS. HAIRSTON: Kathryn Forsyth?
23 MS. FORSYTH: Yes.
24 MS. HAIRSTON: Dave Fleisher?
25 MR. FLEISHER: Yes.
1 MS. HAIRSTON: And I apologize, I
2 missed the motions.
3 MS. STARGHILL: Dave Fleisher made
4 the motion and Janice Kovach second.
5 MS. HAIRSTON: Thank you.
6 MS. TABAKIN: Thank you, meeting is
7 adjourned.
11 (HEARING CONCLUDES AT 11:21 A.M.)

{ To obtain a print-out of the web page exposing Tyranny in Government use internet web address <http://thomascaggiano.com/tyrannyexposed.pdf> Access is available via <http://freedomnewsdigest.com> or <http://thomascaggiano.com>

The web site includes additional links and reports via <http://thomascaggiano.com/page400.htm>

I certify the foregoing statements made by me are TRUE. I am aware if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false that I am subject to punishment.

See my motion to the corrupt Superior Court Judge N. Peter Conforti, JSC on his maliciously false court order filed on Jul 19, 2010 on Municipal Appeals: 13-04-08 and 19-05-19 on <http://thomascaggiano.com/100721Conforti.pdf> and to Judge Ostrer, JSC on municipal appeal 24-2009 <http://thomascaggiano.com/100501ostrermovingpapers.pdf>

I certify the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false that I am subject to punishment.

signed Thomas Caggiano on Jul 25, 2010

A VERIFIED CERTIFIED AFFIDAVIT

Based upon the Department of Community Affairs' GRC own transcript record available on <http://www.state.nj.us/grc/meetings/minutes/2007pdf/20070725Transcript.pdf>

8. The copy of hand-outs included the site plan, the first of two false Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plans certified by the corrupt District Chairman Clifford Lundin, Esq AFTER the property on 1/2 the minor Sub-division Block 10902 Lot 12 was sold as walls violated safety codes, walls were then put into restricted deed areas without any filings by the developer to the corrupt Land Use Board where the Mayor, Town Council have representatives and NO certified Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan was ever made in two foot contours, the deed covenants were violated, fifteen property owners complained yet the corrupt Karyn Gordon, Esq. in her false analysis states falsely to the GRC panel that there is NO evidence other than my own opinions as the State Soil Conservation Committee itself upheld my appeal of the corrupt 1/2 Project plan for Block 10902 Lot 10 was NOT a valid plan for the Project and then with judges and prosecutors based upon malicious kangaroo courts of Judge Mulhern, J.M.C. and Judge Browkley, Jr. who have recused themselves for years in actions concerning me tell the truth not the fraud of Karyn Gordon's lies, deicet to the GRC panel that knows of the fraud by the above transcript record for years. I include actual court exhibits I entered into Judge Shuster/ Judge Sypek's corrupt Superior courts that issued unconstitutional court orders and did NOT allow any affiant be cross examined nor has the corrupt GRC panel allowed any rights of confrontation which is a right of a person to defend themselves against the lies, fraud and trickery of Catherine Starghill, Esq. who committed willful perjury in Judge Sancinito's court as that petty disorder charge will be dismissed shortly. The exhibits included other charts but three charts are enclosed for future analysis and my pending future Reconsideration requests for fraud and trickery by the GRC itself.

9. Therefore attached are Defendent's Exhibit D-7, site plan signed by John Cilo Jr. as Borough Engineer on Oct 9, 2001 as he had NO valid contract to sign such a document per the State's Map Filing Law as he committed a fraud by sending the Department of Community Affairs perjured annual financial reports that he had a valid contract per the Local Public Contracts Law as such claims are criminal claims paid by the racketeering enterprise called Borough of Stanhope AND GRC. I adopt the files in the Box SH#44, Sussex County Soil Conservation District, Newton, NJ about 2,700 pages and filed documents with the corrupt Office of Attorney General's Department of Consumer Affairs' Board of Engineers by Mary Pawar, Iqbal Pawar and Thomas Caggiano under formal complaints 05-13.b, 05-13.a nd 05-13 as I am NOT alone in my disgust with the pathetically corrupt Commissioners of the Department of Community Affairs as the department has over 14,000 pages of evidence. I adopt and presented Defendant's exhibit D-6 the fraudulent drawing signed by Clifford Lundin, Esq. for 1/2 the project determined invalid by my successful appeal to the Department of Agriculture. The Application file is shown as SH#44 and the stamp states: This certification is limited to the controls in this plan. It IS NOT authorization to engage in proposed land use UNLESS SUCH USE to engage in the proposed land use has been previously approved. This drawing is signed on Oct 8, 2002 AFTER the house on Block 10902 Lot 12 was sold and the drawing shows where the deck on Lot 12 was to be built BUT it was built on the south side of the house, with stairs ways going in the wrong direction, with entry to the wrong room of the house and there are NO 10 ft high walls that are over 150 ft long shown on the plan AFTER

they were built illegally as the plan is a FRAUD in a conspiracy among the State of New Jersey, its courts and Borough of Stanhope, bribed PEs and PPs paid by Stanhope without contracts sending false letters to the Office of Attorney General with supportive false letters by the Land Use Board, John Cilo Jr., the Mayor, Town Council known to the law firms Laddey, Clark and Ryan LLC and Dolan and Dolan PA, the corrupt Sussex County Board of Chosen Freeholders on Nov 5, 2008 and hundreds of others.

10. I request copies of agenda be sent me 48 hours in advance per OPMA of all GRC meetings by email to thomas_caggiano@yahoo.com and thomas-caggiano@gmail.com

11. I have never been convicted of any criminal act. The indictment State of NJ v. Thomas Caggiano was dismissed with prejudice against the State of New Jersey on May 11, 2010.

12. Two State Phds have stated I am NOT a threat to myself, any person or private property. The State of New Jersey and Office of Attorney General in form of Sussex County Prosecutor vacated any court order for a mental examination and admitted Judge Craig U. Dana's sentencing violated court rules repeatedly and he had NO authority to request any voluntary mental report after his illegal resentencing orders.

13. The petty disorder charge by Catherine Starghill, Esq. will be dismissed based upon my Pro Se appeal and request by the Mercer County Prosecutor to Judge Ostrer, J.S.C. to dismiss the charges. The court has notified me there is no need for me to travel to its Trenton court on Feb 22, 2011.

14. I am a witness to the Supreme Court which as ordered me to provide the names of witnesses, address and their knowledge. Hundreds of pages of evidence have been provided the OAE and the Superior court Appelalte Division, FBI Hq in Washington D.C., U.S.P.S. Inspection Service and State Police Official Corruption Bureau as I have been a witness to the Official Corruption Bureau for years and agreed among my wife Kathryn a threatened witness also to use our published web site by Inside on the Outside, now a Nevada business enterprise, as a "interested persons" list or simply stated a "target list of felons and conspirators". The web site accessible via <http://thomascaggiano.com> contains many reports and these are adopted as exhibits on <http://thomascaggiano.com/pdf>

15. I certify the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me {or in the adopted exhibits} are willfully false that I am subject to punishment.

Sincerely,

Thomas Caggiano 2/21/2011