



State of New Jersey
Government Records Council
Request for Reconsideration

This form must be prepared and submitted to the Executive Director of the Government Records Council within ten (10) business days of your receipt of the Council's Decision for the matter you request be reconsidered pursuant to N.J.A.C. 5:105-2.10.1 Forms not thoroughly completed will not be legally sufficient for reconsideration. Please submit the completed form to:

Executive Director
Government Records Council
101 S. Broad Street, P.O. Box 819
Trenton, NJ 08625-0819
Phone: (609) 292-6830
Fax: (609) 633-6337

Thomas Caggiano v. Borough of Stanhope 20 -
COMPLAINANT AGENCY GRC Comp. #

- Final Decision Dec 3, 2010
Interim Decision DATE OF DECISION

Reason for reconsideration (must check one or more):

- Mistake New Evidence2
Extraordinary Circumstances Change in Circumstances
Fraud Illegality

Explain in detail why the reason(s) checked above are applicable to this matter or attach a legal argument in support of the checked reason(s).

The corrupt executived director Catherine Starghill, Esq. and corrupt In-House Case manager Karyn Gordon, Esq. continue to defame me, do NOT cite court records that would destroy their misleading facts, have conspired with the Deputy Attorney General for the Division of Law Debra Allen, Esq. and the Borough Attorney for the Bor-

1 For your convenience this provision of the Administrative Code may be found on the GRC website: www.nj.gov/grc/home/rules/pdf/Promulgated_Regulations.pdf

2 This is evidence that could not have been provided prior to the Council's Decision because the evidence did not exist at that time.

uogh of Stanhope Richard A. Stein, Esq. The web site that is noted in the Dec 3, 2010 finding does NOT state that the web site includes letters signed by 15 property owners in the Borough of Stanhope requesting investigations as well as the Federal Senator, Congressman, Senate President Codey (at the time), State Senator Littell, Assemblywoman Mc Hose, Assemblyman Gregg as these letters are all on the filed web site via thomascaggiano.com nor the fact that the Sussex County Administrator John Eskilson has requested investigations of my illegal imprisonment in Sussex County Jail and also requested investigations of the Sussex County Prosecutor's Office twice. The false deceptive analysis does NOT state that the Superior Court of Sussex County Dismissed the 13 criminal charges filed against me by Borough of Stanhopt officials with Prejudice on May 11, 2010 nor that in court findings by the Superior Court on Sep 10, 2009 that Judge Craig U. Dana, J.M.C. in dozens of illegal resentencings of me vacated ALL fines by Judge Dana on charges by Borough of Stanhope officials and on Sep 30, 2010 made a determination that Judge Dana had NO authority to order any mental examination which he demanded after resentencing, nor that all probation was dismissed by Judge Dana, nor that Judge Sypke ignored my filing of a counter complaint and returned the check for \$130 filing fee and that the GRC did NOT conduct as required by law interrogative reviews of prior OAL decisions.

In addition as noted in the newspaper of the Star Ledger and the official newspaper the Borough of Stanhope has "fired" its two municipal town administrators in three years. In fact, Teri Massood was in fact NOT fired as Town Administrator but fled the Borough of Stanhope when the GRC filed Complaint 2006-02 to the Office of Administrative Law under OAL Docket GRC 07725-2007N wherein Teri Massood in testimony before Judge J. Howard Solomon, ALJ and in writing admitted she willfully destroyed evidence and the former Town OPRA Custodian Battaglia admitted she refused to provide copies of any document on the illegal development on Block 10902 Lots 10 and 12.

Whereas the GRC previously cited that the GRC transferred the cases to another independent body as it has a conflict of interest by the corrupt executive director Catherine Starghill, Esq. who committed perjury in the Trenton Municipal Court and the trenton municipal court refused to provide copies of transcripts of the court records which had to be ordered by Judge Ostrer, J.S.C. and the case is still ripe before the Superior Court but I have filed for Dismissal with prejudice under Municipal Appeal #24-2009 Docket 18723.

Whereas Judge Conforti, JSC dismissed the criminal charges with Prejudice filed by the Borough of Stanhope under Ind 08-09-316-I, whereas the GRC and Debra Allen conspired with Richard Stein as shown in emails provided and published on the web site <http://thomascaggiano.com> in a 36 page report on <http://thomascaggiano.com/101107conforti.pdf> and over 300 pages of relative evidence was provided the Department of Community Affairs' Local Finance Board which included perjured Annual Financial Reports by John Cilo Jr. posing as municipal engineer and then a made up title Land Use Board "board engineer", and Scarlett Doyle as town planner and then Eric Keller as municipal engineer as NONE of these felons and conspirators had any valid contract with the Borough of Stanhope thereby engaging fraud, mail fraud now under investigation by the U.S.P.S. Chicago, IL Inspection Service for criminal mail fraud and also the Newark, N.J. U.S.P.S. Inspection Service. Whereas after reviewing the situation with the HQ, Az U.S.P.S. Inspection Service multiple investigations have been launched for mail fraud such as the finding issued by the GRC in defective analysis

willfully designed by trickery and fraud to aide the Borough of Stanhope officials such as the Mayor and others which fired the Town Administrator Richard Stewart on Dec 2, 2010 as Richard Stewart was cooperating with the FBI in Newark, NJ and the West Trenton, New Jersey State Police Official Corruption Bureau Unlike Teri Massood the former corrupt Town Administrator protected by the GRC she did NOT get any severance pay. She did NOT perform her official duty. The GRC has known about perjured Statements of Information submitted by Richard Stein for years as the former Town OPRA custodian Ms Robin Kline, RMC herself reported the criminal conduct of the Borough of Stanhope to the Department of Community Affairs Commissioner's Office, the Sussex County Prosecutor's Office and others.

Whereas the GRC has NOT conducted mandatory interlockutory reviews of OAL decisions it has violated court rules to protect other Judges and lawyers in violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct.

Attached is my Appellate Divison filing header and copies of certified return receipts against the corrupt Judge Conforti, JSC for he held a hearing before the brief was even due in his court order of Oct 5, 2010 as Richard Stein false told Richard Steward on Oct 18, 2010 the Superior Court hearing of Oct 19, 2010 was adjourned to late Dec 2010 which was another false statement by Richard Stein.

Under the court transcript record available as a public record under Ind 08-09-316-I for the changed Docket SSX-L-847-07 Borough of Stanhope v. Thomas Caggiano and GRC which is till ripe three years later. The Docket purpose was changed without any court orders or motions by the GRC to remove itself and change the purpose of the Docket as the GRC did NOT provide any motions or moving papers to me another so called defendant in fact I agreed with the GRC that the hearing should be conducted before the GRC panel. The false statements made to Judge Bozonelis, AJSC by Richard Stein are part of the court record available in the court transcript where in Richard Stein states ALL OPRA requests made by Thomas Caggiano, over 800 were ALL on zoning. Such is false unsworn statements NOT included in the GRC analysis of a CASE they were party and provide beyond any reasonable doubt the corruption of the analysis prepared by the felon for GRC Complaint No 2010-212 and 2010-213.

Nor does the GRC include the court orders of Judge Bozonelis, AJSC to the Borough of Stanhope in Docket SSX-L-847-07 that the BOROUGH MUST RESPOND TO ORPA requests submitted in writing.

The analysis prepared under the supervision of Lori Grifa, Esq Commissioner who has had NO hearing of my complaints against her staff as noted in the submittal by Catherine Starghill, Esq. and Karyn Gordon, Esq. approved by the corrupt Ms Robin Berg Tabaken, Chair none who have had the State mandated hearing within 10 days of receiving a complaint against their employee and are both thereby complicit witht the continuing criminal acts of aiding others and obstruction of a Government Function wherein even a murder in jail per OPRA can submit an OPRA form. Also even the court orders of Judge Dana and Judge Conforti themselves are also violated as the Borough has refused to accept payment of taxes by my wife Kathryn, threatened my wife by interstate mail for submitting and signing an OPRA request in the Borough of Stanhope, threatened me for submitting an OPRA request in writing as directed by Superior Court Judge Bozonelis, AJSC court order to the Borough of Stanhope.

Whereas the firing of the Town administrator Richard Stewart who was a cooperative witness to the FBI and State Police Official Corruption Bureau without a public hearing per Chap 2.2.d shows that the Borough's retribution knows no ends.

Since the State Newspaper the Star Ledger and the Official Newspaper of Stanhope the New Jersey Herald have reported these acts by the Borough of Stanhope and whereas the Sussex County Administrator has requested investigation of my imprisonment which on Sep 10, 2009 and again on Oct 19, 2010 Judge Conforti, JSC dismissed any mental examination by Dr. Peter Paul and whereas based upon the Division of Mental Health Services OPRA custodian Jeff Nielsen reports in his response of Dec 7, 2010 of my Dec 2, 2010 OPRA request that the Commissioner Velez has over 400 pages of emails and other evidence directly related to my illegal imprison and as now determined by Judge Conforti's court order of Nov 4, 2010 their was not authority for Judge Dana to order any voluntary mental examination for which he held me captive in Sussex County Jail as determined over 1 year when Judge Conforti reduced Judge Dana's added sentencing to 95 days.

I believe a hearing is needed NOT the continuing false, deceptive fraud issued by Catherine Starghill for if the case was transferred to the OAL Judges previously how does that tasking now change per Catherine Starghill, Esq in the fraud and trickery in the finding issued by the GRC.

Whereas the State Soil Conservation Committee executive director found my appeal of the corrupt Sussex County Soil Conservation District's 1/2 Project Block 10902 Lots 10 and 12 violated the State's law and informed the District any certified Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan MUST be for the project, the District then certified a second false 1/2 project Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan for only Lot 10 which its lawyer Brian Smith who threatened Mary Pawar by issuing a court orders to her to appear in court for submitting her and her husband's complaints to the corrupt Office of Attorney General's Department of Consumer Affairs Board of Engineers' under Complaints 05-13.a and 05-13.b and were written as shills for performing their public duty.

When has defending the Constitution become frivolous or defending as stated in the Declaration of Independence others to protect their safety and health and stop the unending corruption of the current GRC. I recommend that the former GRC lawyer Vince Maltese, Esq., Deputy Court Clerk Tania El of Newton, Richard Stewart the fired Town Administrator on Dec 2, 2010 think my complaints are frivolous.

I adopt as referenced exhibits all the files in the Superior Court in Mercer County on my municipal appeal, all files and records in the Dismissed Criminal Indictment 08-09-316-I and all records in the Department of Law and Public Safety.

Since the corrupt Catherine Starghill and corrupt lawyer Karyn Gordon state on page 7, "The council takes further judicial notice of the website maintained by the Complainant at www.thomascaggiano.com, which contains more then 100 references to the Government Records Council, its council and its staff in highly pejorative terms, as well as hundreds of disparaging or belittling references to the state and local government and officials and employees thereof."

I recommend the excellent GRC employees or former employees be called as witnesses: Vince Maltese, Dara Lownie and Frank Caruso.

They are examples of good State employees not the felons controlling their actions noted above by conspiracy and complicity.

Doesn't it seem strange I can NOT get a single minute in the GRC to confront any witness under oath? Or that none of the persons noted above have filed any civil case against me other than the bogus charge by the felon Catherine Starghill on a petty disorder charge that the GRC used NOT to have any hearings before the GRC as NO public hearing on any complaint to the corrupt string of Commissioners of Community Affairs was ever heard by any Commissioner as Susan Bass Levin was a felon NOT performing her official duty, an accomplice as has her subsequent GRC commissioners.

Based upon the Public Interest. The lies and deceptions by Catherine Starghill and fraud, and trickery in the GRC analysis and the firing of Town Administrator Richard Stewart a favorable witness to prove beyond any reasonable doubt the willful denial of access by the Borough of Stanhope officials as they were even ordered by Judge Bozonelis to respond to written OPRA requests and the proof of a conspiracy of Debra and Richard in their emails provided by the GRC itself, the conclusion beyond any reasonable doubt is my request for copies of deeds to sell my house on 10 East Drive, see tax records for a tax appeal to reduce property taxes nor can I even see my court records in the Borough of Stanhope as I was threatened with arrest are I believe adequate reasons for a reconsideration of ALL Borough of Stanhope denial of access as fraud, perjury was made by Richard Stein on the Statements of Information, and perjury was done by John Cilo Jr., Scarlett Doyle, Eric Keller in submitting false documentation to the Department of Community Affairs Local Finance Board that they had valid contracts when they did NOT. Requesting a copy of a contract is to be immediate and I have not seen such a contract in years of requests. As a former member of the Military Comptroller Society, a former member of the Army Acquisition Corps, Policy Chief for Picatinny, I think I know what a contract is: a signed agreement among two parties with an effective date and complies with the requirements of the Local Public Contracts Law.

My Background is on <http://thomascaggiano.com/tcb.htm>

and my meeting in the Grand Jury Room with the former First Assistant Prosecutor Bill Fitzgibbons and another Detective where in I presented evidence of massive corruption in the Borough of Stanhope based upon data obtained by OPRA as they recused themselves and advised me to proceed to the Federal Department of Justice which has now advised me to contact the U.S.P.S Inspection Service for mail fraud as I have done is NOT Frivolous but simply performing a person's duty to others in a society to aide them in their safety, health and protect their private property as noted in the letter signed by fifteen property owners published on:

<http://thomascaggiano.com/060508ltr15people.pdf>

The audio recording with the above Sussex County Prosecutor Staff is published on <http://thomascaggiano.com/060614fitzgibbonscpo.mp3>

Also included is my filing with the Superior Court Appellate Court against the corrupt Judges N. Peter Conforti, JSC and Craig U. Dana, JMC

<http://thomascaggiano.com/101128appellateappeal.pdf> with the added published exhibits which are adopted by reference per court rules and based upon my personal knowledge and court records

<http://thomascaggiano.com/acjcmulhern.pdf>
<http://thomascaggiano.com/acjcpaparazzo.pdf>
<http://thomascaggiano.com/acjcconforti.pdf>
<http://thomascaggiano.com/101122dcjcriminalreport.pdf>
<http://thomascaggiano.com/101107conforti.pdf>

I certify the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false that I am subject to punishment.

ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSARY

BY SIGNING THIS REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION, I AFFIRM THAT:

- THE INFORMATION I HAVE PROVIDED IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF; AND
- ANY DOCUMENTATION SUBMITTED IN SUPPORT OF THIS RECONSIDERATION IS A TRUE COPY; AND
- I WILL SERVE A COPY OF ALL PAGES OF THIS REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION ON ALL PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY; AND
- I AM FILING THIS REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION WITHIN THE TIME ALLOWED UNDER N.J.A.C. 5:105-2.10.

This document is published by Inside on the Outside - A Partnership on <http://www.freedomnewsdigest.com> and also <http://www.thomascaggiano.com> and directly at <http://www.thomascaggiano.com/101211grc.pdf>

Signed electronically and faxed to GRC and mailed by regular mail with attachments.

Thomas Caggiano v. Thomas Caggiano Dec 11, 2010
Printed Name Signature Date

FOR GRC USE ONLY BELOW THIS LINE

GRC Rec'd Date Stamp

- Granted - This matter will be scheduled for reconsideration as soon as practicable
- Denied

Catherine Starghill, Esq.
Executive Director

Date