

7086 Arcadia Glen Court  
Aliante Springdale Community  
North Las Vegas, Nv. 89084  
Aug 9, 2009

Former a N.J. citizen, now a citizen of Nv.  
10 East Drive  
Stanhope, N.J. 07874

District X Ethics Committee  
Caroline Record, Esq. Secretary {Pursuant to R 1:20-3(d)(e) and g(1)}  
Berman, Saiter. Record & Jardim, P.C.  
222 Ridgedale Avenue  
P.O. Box 2249  
Morristown, N.J. 07962-2249

Supreme Court of New Jersey  
Director of Office of Attorney Ethics {Pursuant to R 1:20-2(b)(A) and (F), and (2)}  
P.O. Box 963  
Trenton, N.J. 08625

Supreme Court of New Jersey  
Corrupt Advisory Committee on Judicial Conduct { Case file 2008-305 }  
State of New Jersey Supreme Court  
Chair and Executive director John Tonelli -  
P.O. Box 037  
Trenton, N.J. 08625

New Jersey Attorney General and Division of Criminal Justice  
Corrupt Anne Milgram, AG and Director Deborah Gramiccioni  
Hughes Justice Complex  
P.O. Box 080  
Trenton, N.J. 08625

U.S. Attorney Office  
Acting United States Attorney Ralph J. Marra, Jr.  
970 Broad Street, 7th Floor  
Newark, NJ 07102

Nevada U.S. Attorney Gregory A. Brower and the former U.S. Attorney and nominee David Bogden  
333 South Las Vegas Blvd.  
Lloyd George Federal Building  
Las Vegas, NV 89101

\*President Obama's nominee Daniel Bogden who has Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid's support  
Title 18, Civil Rights, Section 241 and 242 violations against me by a conspiracy in New Jersey documented herein.

Subject: Your Grievance against William E. Hinks, Esq. Notification of declination due to pending criminal litigation {Joint Municipal Court municipal prosecutor of Green , Fredon, Hampton and Borough of Andover, law firm partner of Hollander, Strelzik, Pasculli, Hinkes, Vandenberg and Hontz, LLC, 40 Park Place, P.O. Box 999, Newton, N.J. 07860)

Dear Chair and Secretary Ms Record and Chair and executive director of the ACJC Mr. Tonelli,

1. Adopted as exhibits to this certified affidavit are:

- a. Your Letter, Apr 10, 2009 entitled: Grievance against Richard A. Stein, Esq. (Laddey, Clark and Ryan LLC), Notification of declination due to pending litigation and my reply of May 2, 2009
- b. Your Letter, Aug 6, 2009, subject as above
- c. My hundreds of filings , DVDs, CDs, photographs, documents, assessments, complaints of Title 2 State criminal acts and Federal violations such as Title 18, Civil Rights, Sections 241 and 242, money laundering, racketeering, bribery, conspiracy, voting rights act violations, witness tampering, obstruction of justice, trickery, fraud beginning with my report to SCPO's Detective Joseph Costello since 2002 with the corrupt Sussex County Prosecutor David Weaver, Esq., 19-21 High St, Newton, N.J. 07860
- d. My Federal District Court, E.D. PA filings before the Hon. Lawrence F. Stengil (J), Docket numbers 09-MC-03 and 09-MC-71 and U.S. Attorney Laurie Magid and Assistant Attorney Eric D. Gill
- e. The CAG Reports of Jan 8, 2008 and May 25, 2009 filed with the N.J. Attorney General and Sussex County Prosecutor's Office and others
- f. All other documents are adopted by reference that are noted in [ThomasCaggiano.com/index.htm](http://ThomasCaggiano.com/index.htm) filed in Sussex County Prosecutor's Office and Sussex County Superior Court on Aug 5, 2009 as a defendant's continuing disclosure pursuant to State of New Jersey Court Rule R

2. In my complaints to the District X Ethics Committee against Mr. Hinkes accomplice, a perjurer and deceitful lawyer noted above Richard A. Stein, Esq. once again you come up with another contrived analysis to NOT perform your official duty to even file a docket for a review of Mr. William Hinkes, Esq. again citing different falsehoods in Mr. Hinkes case.

3. Earlier District's X Ethics Committee's excuse was the Committee could NOT assign a docket for a corrupt, unethical lawyer if he filed a civil suite against me "except in rare situations that involve clearly provable ethical violations"and ignored the extreme violation of Rules of Professional Conduct by the corrupt, liar, perjurer, confederator Richard A. Stein, Esq. against We the People of the Borough of Stanhope as noted in petitions signed by fourteen citizens and then fifteen citizens in letters presented to the corrupt Mayors of Stanhope and their Governing Body since Jul 26, 2002, other letters and our last ignored petition of May 8, 2006. There are available to all the above agencies via the internet on my authored and published web site [ThomasCaggiano.com](http://ThomasCaggiano.com) which is Aug 4, 2009 update and printout was filed with the Sussex County corrupt Superior Court and its corrupt Sussex County Prosecutor David Weaver, Esq. noted in enclosure 1 with their agency "stamp" of filing and receipt. A DVD with over 6 CDs, 3.7 Gigs was filed therein on Jan 6, 2009 yet the unethical conduct has not only continued but drastically increased with further outrageous breach of duty, admission of guilt under oath by the Sussex County Probation officer Jennifer Jobbacy also directly implicating her superiors and medical doctors for violations of their medical oaths. Having testimonial evidence, provided at great cost into the court records appears to be outrageous conduct by the District X Ethics Committee that is NOT extra-ordinary circumstances at least allowing a Docket number to be entered. But in the Morris

County and Sussex County Vicinage, by the corrupt court order of its Superior Court Judge Thomas Manahan, P.J.S.C. no municipal court is even allowed to enter a court docket number on any signed and witnessed affidavit by either myself or my wife against any party or firm for any reason. Per Judge Dana's court order I am NOT even allowed to file and sign a witnessed court required Affidavit of Probable Cause in the Borough of Stanhope against any person or party. Per the corrupt orders of Judge Bozonelis, A.J.S.C. I am not allowed to examine ANY government record in the Borough of Stanhope and as indicated in the transcript of Jul 15, 2009, the corrupt Richard A. Stein, Esq. even admitted when I sent my family's check to pay our county and local taxes with a check for \$2,000, the check was returned and the corrupt Judge Dana issued a court order that I was to be jailed immediately upon my return from Las Vegas, Nv as I had a Superior Court order to leave New Jersey to resolve escrow and final closing on my new home State of Nevada. I could NOT even do the final closing as the underwriter and mortgage company Bank of America required proof from the Federal Government in addition to copies of my federal annuity statement and my wife Kathryn's social security notification that I had to visit my home State Nevada's federal IRS and Social Security offices to confirm such continued payments for the next three years.

===== Transcript Record =====  
Transcript Record: { Notes: are not within the transcript but added herein for clarity by me }

From the Joint Municipal Court transcript on page 58 - 61 in my questioning to Richard Stein, Stanhope's Borough Attorney:

*Note: The corrupt court magistrate is Judge Craig U. Dana. The corrupt hostile witness is Richard A. Stein, Esq. Stanhope's Borough Attorney and the corrupt Municipal Prosecutor is William E. Hinkes, Esq. I am a defendant accused of violations of probation of Judge Dana's unconstitutional court orders. The corrupt Sussex County Probation Official is Jennifer Jobbagy.*

Q: Thomas Caggiano questionnaire - pro se defendant, A: Witness is Richard Stein, Esq.

Q: Do I have three different properties in the Borough of Stanhope?

A: I'm not sure if they're taxed as individual lots or if they've been merged by the assessor. So I don't know the answer to your question. { Note: Per Judge Dana's court order I can not write, telephone, fax or make any appointment to discuss my assessment or examine property record cards with the Borough of Stanhope's tax assessor. }

Q: Did you appear at the Tax Board?

Mr. Hinkes: Objection, Your Honor.

The COURT: Sustained.

Q: Are you aware I'm trying to sell my properties? { Note: 6, 8 and 10 East Drive, Stanhope, N.J. }

Mr. Hinkes: Objection, Your honor.

The COURT: Sustained.

Q: So I sent you a -- can an individual, if they're selling their house and leaving the state, would it be prudent, upon them ---

Mr. Hinkes: Objection, Your honor

Q: -- to sell --

Mr. Hinkes: Again, Mr. Caggiano is challenging the terms of the resentencing order, not Mr. Stein's

provision of information to Ms. Jobbagy about the violations thereof.  
The COURT: Sustained.

Q: Am I required by Superior Court to pay over --- bills? {Note: *OPRA bills* }  
Mr. Hinkes: Objection, Your Honor.  
The COURT: Sustained.

Q: Can I pay bills in the Borough of Stanhope?  
A. You're allowed to pay your tax bill and your water and sewer bill, which are the three bills that the Borough would issue.

Q: Does that court order appear to be unconstitutional?  
Mr. Hinkes: Objection, Your honor.  
The COURT: Sustained.

Q: Am I allowed freedom of assembly?  
Mr. Hinkes: Objection.  
The COURT: Sustained.

Q: Am I allowed freedom of speech?  
Mr. Hinkes: Objection.  
The COURT: Sustained.

Q: Are you aware the Appellate Court issued an order that says people are allowed to send e-mails --  
Mr. Hinkes: Your Honor, --

Q: -- on OPRA requests?

Mr. Hinkes: -- this is contemptuous of these proceedings and I ask that Mr. Caggiano be instructed that he limits his questions to Mr. Stein to the proceedings before the Court.

*{ Note: I sent a check for government records to the Borough of Stanhope in the amount of \$250 and never received the copies of items request nor a denial that requested copies of contracts did NOT exist. Mr. Hinkes did NOT have a valid contract when I was initially convicted of the malicious petty disorder charges on Jan 7, 2009 as all the petty disorder complaints were transferred from the Borough of Stanhope municipal court. The town administrator of Green provided me a deceptive letter that William Hinkes had a valid contract that complied with the State's Local Public Contracts Law but in fact the township did NOT have a signed executed contract and within its contract "backdated" the start date prior to the date of execution. }*

The COURT: Mr. Caggiano, do you have any relevant questions.

Mr. Caggiano: Yes.

The COURT: What's the relevance that your going to explore: I would like a proffer.

Mr. Caggiano: The relevance is I'm under court orders from Superior Court that Mr. Stein's aware of, but apparently you're not allowing me to ask, that he was complicit in, that directs me to do certain things, which Superior Court Judge Bozonelis -- that your order refuses to allow me to do.

Mr. Hinkes: Your Honor, --

Mr. Caggiano: Are you aware --

Mr. Hinkes: -- again, that challenges ---

The COURT: Sustained.

Mr. Hinkes: Thank you, Judge.

Q: So it is your position, Mr. Stein, that a person cannot send in their property taxes in advance to settle an account?

Mr. Hinkes: Your Honor, Mr. Stein's position on this is not relevant.

The COURT: I will allow the question.

The Witness: The question was what, Judge? I'm sorry.

The COURT: Can someone pay their taxes in advance?

The Witness { *Borough Attorney Richard A. Stein, Esq.* }: Yes

By Mr. Caggiano: Did the Borough of Stanhope allow me to?

A: No

Q: Did Judge Dana allow me to send in my tax bill?

A: He did.

Q: If a person is moving and they're unsure of what their tax liability is, would it be reasonable upon that person to send in a check to the where he has taxes being due?

Mr. Hinkes: Your Honor, that --

A. Not in your case, sir.

===== End of Transcript Record =====

4. This letter again supplements my earlier letter of May 1, 2009. The Rules of Professional Conduct (RPC) for lawyers apparently does NOT apply to lawyers in District X, nor do the Rules of Judicial Conduct apply to apparently most Judges in the corrupt Vicinage controlled by the corrupt B. Theodore Bozonelis, A.J.S.C. as he and other corrupt Judges who conspired with Laddey, Clark and Ryan LLC per RPC 5.1 as the municipal prosecutors and borough attorney is normally the same law firm so it's a pure simple case of conflict of interest where the Borough Attorney's job is to protect the municipal officials while the same lawyer is the municipal prosecutor so how does that lawyer do both jobs AT once on opposite sides. You should make a recommendation to have a NEW State law where the same law firm can NOT hold both jobs in the same municipality and maybe the world known corruption of New Jersey would be slightly reduced as in District X corruption and lack of ethics is pandemic. It would appear my statements of the inability of a person to file and have docketed a complaint against any lawyer in the corrupt Vicinage for which you District has oversight does NOT recognize a lawyer's responsibility including your own to comply with the Rules of Ethics; namely, RPC 8.3a and 8.3b are apparently written in invisible ink as are RPC 8.1.b and RPC 8.4 Misconduct is apparently in District X the Rules of Professional Conduct to support the corrupt Mayors, corrupt Governing Body and Land Use Board with the corrupt Town Administrator for in Stanhope we have had decades therein where John Cilo Jr. Associated without ANY written contract awarded per the Local Public Contracts Law with his paid Town Planner Scarlett Doyle a professional bribed professional planner as was the corrupt P. David Zimmerman a corrupt bribed professional planner who was paid with money laundered through Stanhope's own escrow account as noted on my authored and published web page as you and your investigator don't even have to leave your home or office if you have an internet connection.

5. It continues to appear to me and others that communication with District X Ethics Committee is a useless attempt to have some justice in the State of Injustice and infamy of corruption - The State of New Jersey as District invents words NOT in the court rule cited by Ms. Record, Esq. In fact, it rules states the exact opposite of District X Ethics Committee's statement which directly authorized such

docketing of my complaints and its investigation which should take minutes:

If the facts alleged present clearly demonstrate provable ethical violations or if the facts alleged present a substantial threat of imminent harm to the public. Whereas these corrupt lawyers by their own misconduct increased my fines and with their corrupt municipal judge ordered I be placed in Jail again after already having been Jailed from Jan 7, 2009 through Apr 1, 2009, paid \$5,000 for transcripts, was hospitalized in immediate care, I believe meets the statement of the RULE.

6. The District X Ethics Committee appears simpler in fashion to the corrupt State of New Jersey Administrative Committee on Judicial Conduct as since Jul 3, 2008 it has detailed complaints of conspiracy and corruption of many Superior Court Judges and Municipal Court Judges and their corrupt municipal prosecutors in District X. I suggest you simple ask its apparently corrupt executive director John A. Tonelli for a copy of all my filing under ACJC 2008-305 and I affirm by adoption those exhibits to this certified affidavit as being true.

7. I adopt as referenced exhibits the many Township of Green transcripts of which I have paid now \$5,000 in the fiefdom of the Township of Green, Fredon, Hampton and Borough of Andover's corrupt municipal court supported by the townships corrupt Mayors, Governing Bodies, Town Administrators, Joint Court Committee members and our corrupt Governor Jon Corzine, corrupt New Jersey Attorney General Anne Milgram, her Trenton located departments in the Hughes Justice Complex which should be renamed Hughes Injustice Complex as the corrupt Department of Law and Public Safety has cabinet draws full of evidence and dozens of tip internet criminal tip line reports as you may contact its corrupt Administrator of Investigations Joseph Trapp who I gave his own personal copy of my authored and published, widely distributed Blue Book covered The CAG Report of Jan 8, 2008 filed in my hopeless defense of a malicious civil suite by the corrupt N.J. Attorney General Anne Milgram, her corrupt Division of Law's corrupt Deputy Attorney General Debra Allen, Esq. with the support of the corrupt NOW Resigned in disgrace former Assemblyman, Mayor, State Senator and recent Commissioner of Community Affairs Joseph Doria, Jr and its corrupt Government Record Council lawyer's; namely, the corrupt GRC's executive director Catherine Starghill, Esq. and my Case Manager Karyn Gordon, Esq. who refuses to have any telephone conversation with me on ANY docket before that corrupt GRC. Fortunately, the former excellent GRC chairman Vince Maltese, Esq. brought in a court reporter AGAINST the GRC and its corrupt lawyers, told them on the record to report criminal conduct, made an interview in the Star Ledger to change the Open Public Record Act (OPRA) restrictions of his chairmanship to find OPRA custodians and other guilty of willful denial of access as I can not even email OPRA requests to the Department of Community Affairs, the Borough of Stanhope nor the Township Green as stated by the Appellate Case Law.

8. The corrupt Judges included the ten corrupt Judges I reported to the corrupt ACJC and are posted on my publicly available web site and again was filed in the corrupt Sussex County Superior Court by me on Aug 5, 2009 at 2:20 pm with eight detailed exhibits and dozens of others adopted by reference. The letter to the Superior Court of the criminal conduct in my defense of performing a Public Duty required by law and Title 2C which is used by felons to attempt to criminally convict me as NO such trial has ever started against me in any court. The corrupt Superior Court Judges were Judge Neil H. Shuster, J.S.C. on Chancery Division Docket NO. MER-C-102-07 in State of New Jersey v. Thomas Caggiano and then with the complicit felons and accomplices the GRC's executive director Catherine Starghill, Esq., corrupt Division of Law's Debra Allen, Esq. at the same time I met the corrupt Trenton Municipal Judge Louis Sancinitio, C.J.M.C. and its corrupt prosecutor's office and the corrupt Trenton Superior Court Judge Maria M. Sypek, P.J.S.C.

9. They of course are supported by the corrupt Vicinage for Morris County and Sussex County Assignment Judge B. Theodore Bozonelis, A.J.S.C. who conspired with the Attorney General's office, the corrupt GRC and corrupt Borough of Stanhope's Borough Attorney Richard A. Stein, Esq. to change the names on a docket from Borough of Stanhope vs. State of New Jersey (GRC) and Thomas Caggiano with the full support of the corrupt Judge Bozonelis who NEVER held any hearing with witnesses allowed in almost two years as per his corrupt court order I can NOT examine any government record in the Borough of Stanhope NOR attend Open Public Meetings of the Borough's Town Council in a meeting by the People where grievances may be made in Open Public Discussion as Freedom of Speech, Freedom of Presenting Grievances and Freedom of Assembly are NOT allowed in the Borough of Stanhope. Per the corrupt Judge Bozonelis's Presiding Judge Thomas Manahan neither my wife nor myself are allowed to even file and sign ANY court generated docket number with a summons or arrest warrant and NO municipal judge in the entire Vicinage is allowed per Judge Manahan's court order allowed to conduct any probable cause hearing on any Affidavit of Probable Cause on any complaint filed by either of us before any municipal court administrator in any municipality or township in the entire Vicinage on any matter?

10. Court rules of New Jersey do NOT apply in the Vicinage or Mercer county apparently.

11. Per Judge Dana, as admitted in the transcript of proceeding, Jul 15, 2009 for which I paid \$768, I am not even allowed to get a copy of the transcript on a CD ROM which is required for an Appellate Court appeal NOT could I even obtain a copy on a CD of the audio recording. As noted in the transcript per Judge Dana, Judge Bozonelis issued an order, which I do NOT believe exists, that NO defendant in the Vicinage can obtain these records on a CD-ROM and when I submitted a court document to obtain a copy of the letter that Judge Dana's in the transcripted stated existed, he also refused that request for a court document which directly impacts EVERY defendant's right to appeal per N.J.'s court rules. Of course, the corrupt municipal prosecutor William Hinkes, Esq. a partner in unethical and criminal conduct with Judge Dana and his court administrator who assists Judge Dana issue the fines, has NO ethics under the Ethics Law for Judicial Employees as the corruption never stops but it will once we get a new Governor other then the equally corrupt and hypocrit former U.S. Attorney Chris Christie who have volumes of evidence in his former Newark office.

12. I make the following comments concerning your new letter of Aug 6, 2009 and the second resentencing order issued by the team of Judge Dana, court administrator Maria C. Spiegler and with the consent of municipal prosecutor William E. Hinkes, Esq.

a. The case before Judge Dana was NEVER a criminal matter as you indicate. Per Title 2C definition ONLY fourth degree and higher offenses are criminal matters NOT petty disorder charges therefore your first sentence is self-serving NOT to assign a docket number to an investigator.

b. I will NOTE also that Richard A. Stein, Esq. has NEVER himself filed ANY civil suite against me. Surely I have referred to him as a felon, perjurer, liar, criminal conspirator, traitor, pathetic person, disgrace to his profession and parents and he has NEVER filed a civil suite against me. The only civil suite was a contrived and changed Docket in the corrupt court of Morristown Assignment Judge Bozonelis AGAINST the GRC and myself as a STAY request For the Borough of Stanhope so once again your contrived reason for NOT assigning a docket number against Richard A. Stein, Esq. was self-serving on your part.

c. If the corrupt Judge Dana refuses to even provide me a copy of the Transcript on CD ROM as known

by his accomplice William Hinkes, Esq., I can NOT file an Appellate court appeal.

13. The Affidavits of Probable Cause filed by myself and my wife as admitted on the court transcript record essentially vanished as the corrupt Sussex County Superior Court Judge N. Peter Conforti, J.S.C. without following court rules requiring a Probable Cause Hearing before a municipal judge per court rules, without any hearing threw them out as Judge Conforti violated court rules and has a DVD with over 30 ft of evidence including audio recordings of my Grand Jury room discussions with Sussex County 1st assistant prosecutor William Fitzgibbons and another detective when they recused themselves years ago.

14. We now have N.J. being defamed by our current corrupt Gov Corzine and his opponent former U.S. Attorney Chris Christie who are referring to each other as hypocrites. I agree with both of them.

15. So therefore based upon YOUR false statement in paragraph 1 of your letter of Aug 6, 2009 and the fact that court rule R:1-20-3(f) says contrary to your action is the "GRIEVANCE SHALL BE DOCKETED and INVESTIGATED", I suggest you do so and simply have your investigator review the \$5,000 in transcripts I already paid and am unable per the corrupt Judge Dana to obtain a copy on CD ROM of the transcripts for an Appellate court appeal.

16. A copy is being sent to the equally protective corrupt ACJC for Judges. Since the Department of the Treasury's corrupt Office of Administrative Law "judges" are NOT under the jurisdiction of the corrupt ACJC are they under the Jurisdiction of any District Ethics Committee? They are located in the cease pool of Newark, N.J. along with the corrupt FBI Special Agent in Charge Weysan Dun as his own duty officer has recused himself as did the State Police's Augusta Barracks Sgt Detective Brown.

17. Who does the buck get sent on Ethics for as noted on my published and filed web page index, ThomasCaggiano.com/index.htm, ETHICS does NOT exist among the corrupt fraternity of Judges, Prosecutors and Lawyers in the internationally known stench in New Jersey.

18. I can't even file property taxes or contact the Borough that I am now a resident of Nevada and sent in a check for property taxes and payment of OPRA documents but instead found myself fined and sentenced to jail again by the team of Dana and Hinkes... in a petty disorder trial which is NOT a criminal trial as a municipal judge can't hold such a trial thank goodness.

19. So what's your next excuse going to be when I filed Ethics complaints against the corrupt prosecutor of Frankford Township and his formerly paid but uncontracted assistant prosecutor or Dolan and Dolan PA's corrupt Borough of Stanhope's Land Use Board lawyers. Is there a lawyer in the Vicinage that you won't protect?

20. I certify the foregoing statements made by me are true. If any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false I am aware that I am subject to punishment.

21: The transcript of Jul 15, 2009 of my questioning the corrupt Probation Services official Jennifer Jobbacy on pages 17 line 21- page 18 line 6 are supportive and relevant showing the complicity and confederation and her knowledge of the Code of Ethics and CEPA.

The Questionnaire is Mr. Hinkes and the witness the State's Probation Service's Group Jennifer Job-

bagy.

Q: Any other acts by Mr. Caggiano that led to your issuance of the several notices of violation of probation and the addendum?

A: Well, Mr. Caggiano, when he first -- upon our first meeting he had reviewed the Judicial Canon I, Conduct, and continued to tell me that I needed to --- this was corrupt and I need to tell my superior, and if my supervisor doesn't agree it's corrupt, she needs to put that in writing. And if she won't put in in writing, I need to file charges with the Ethical Board or charges would be brought against me by him.

===== From Transcript: Page 19 line 6 through Page 19 line 21 =====

The COURT: Mr. Caggiano, do you have any objection?

Mr. Caggiano: Well, the documents may be so admitted. The facts in them are in error, and I will cross examine the witness and show that.

The COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Caggiano: I have no objection to the documents being entered at this time. However, I also will make a motion that she actually give the Court her entire file that she has, not selected documents, so I can exculpatory data and her true knowledge, of which she's not giving you.

The COURT: S-1 through S-39 in evidence.

Mr. Hinkes as to --- well, I will deny your motion at this particular time since it's not your opportunity in this proceeding to introduce evidence.

My questioning of Ms. Jennifer Jobbagy, Transcript Page 20 line 4 through

Q: Did I request our supervisors to give me a different probation officer?

A: Yes.

Q: Do you have additional evidence within your State file? Did I provide you extensively in our discussions that could be used as exculpatory evidence against me, that would show that the data that you are presenting to this Court represents a minor amount of the data of which you have which can show what your true -- what was truly provided to you in our discussions? How much data --- how much -- how thick is your evidence file or whatever you call your -- what do you call your file against a person on probation?

A. A file.

Q. That's what it's called? Okay. Do you have a file on me?

A. Yes, I certainly do.

Q. Does it have significant additional data in addition to that which you presented to this Court?

A. Are you referring to ---

Q. The data ---

A. Information you sent me ?

Q: And letters that were sent to you, letters that were sent to you regarding D. Srivanasin, and also other evidence I provided you in your file.

A. I have a lot of paperwork from you.

Mr. Caggiano: I would like all the paperwork to be included either a State's exhibit or Defense exhibit.

The COURT: Mr. Hinkes?

Mr. Hinkes: I have no objection to perhaps Ms. Jobbagy's file being marked as Defendant -1. Of course, there are certain documents that Mr. Caggiano continues to believe relate to the underlying action rather than the probation charges, but if Mr. Caggiano believes that there is documentary evidence that he's provided Ms. Jobbagy that would excuse his behavior as complained of, then of course it's appropriate for the Court to consider.

The COURT: All right Let's see what we have in the way of files.

The witness: Okay.

The COURT: Now, in addition to those documents that have already been marked into evidence as S-1 through 29. So I guess what we're looking for at this going, Mr. Caggiano, is the entire balance of the probation file that is being maintained in this matter. Is that what you're looking for?

Mr. Caggiano: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct. That will show a true mind set and the true position when I cross examine Ms. Jobbagy on her true knowledge and her selected memory, which I can use to help her recall her memory when I ---

...

The COURT: All right. I'm going to mark this entire manila folder which bears a label of "Caggiano, Thomas, Green Township" and the number C33179, What's the significance of that number?

The Witness" That's his CAPS number. That's his identification number for the computer system.

...

The COURT: I now have another folder bearing Mr. Caggiano's name. It indicates Stanhope and C33170. This will be marked as D-2 for identification.

The Witness: That's all correspondence from Mr. Caggiano.

The COURT: I have a third file, which is going to be marked which indicates --- has Mr. Caggiano's

name on it and it's entitled "Correspondence from Mr. Caggiano" which will be D-3 for identification.

Mr. Hinkes: Mr. Caggiano, did you want me t give these to you now or ---

Mr. Caggiano: Well, I would like to just glance at it, but I'm going to have to go though it since I kind of have been busy buying a house out in Nevada and we're closing in 10 days. I will be departing. We have --- we are in final closing on a new house. We are moving to Nevada.

End Page 23 though line 22

=====  
===== End of Transcript Record =====  
=====

The prosecutor William Hinkes nor I ever saw the massive files that the State of New Jersey via its probation services group was provided and Mr. Hinkes of course never saw the files which would contain massive amounts of exculpatory evidence that as a lawyer and prosecutor he must provide the court under New Jersey court rules such as such as relevance and tangible objects held by the State of New Jersey Sussex County Superior Courts probation services group which be reference adopt the massive files within the Department of Law and Public Safety, the Office of the New Jersey Attorney General and the State's Sussex County Prosecutor's Office. The disclosure under Brady obligations by the prosecutor who did NOT even read the material is obvious and his conduct is one of negligence and care of duty. Furthermore the introduction by the state of material from various doctors which the State admitted was NOT given authority to obtain was an invasion of privacy and malicious prosecution and malicious abuse of process were the order of the day to Jail me again as Judge Dana obviously had NOT read the files prior to the hearing beginning and I was not provided any opportunity to review the massive file via discovery to the prosecutor as the State never provided the exculpatory material. As noted above again defamation of my character was the order of the day, witness tampering and not allowing witnesses identified in memorandum to Mr. Hinkes and the COURT which listed fifty witnesses to the court in my Motion of Jun 4, 2008 (sp 2009) to the Joint Municipal Court, Complaint S-2007-000077, et al, Probation Violation hearing and Witness List, Notice of Motions for (1) Change of Venue, (2) Elimination of Probation Services and (3) Proposed Witness List. This motion was subsequently filed as a record with the Sussex County Prosecutor's Office and Sussex County Prosecutor's Office on Aug 5, 2009 when I returned from Las Vegas.

22. The State of New Jersey's ACJC is also authorized AFTER waiting since my original complaints of Jun 2008, over 14 months ago to conduct its own investigation do to extreme special circumstances impacting the public but like the District X Ethics Committee and New Jersey's Attorney General who does NOT perform her official duties of filing criminal charges against officials as clearly demonstrated in the Federal Arrest on bribery and other in the "gang" of forty four persons or State criminal conspiracy charges are immune from State Title 2C criminal arrest warrants being issued as I am unable to even file Affidavits of Probable Cause in the Borough of Stanhope or sign any CDR-1 or CDR-2 forms to enter any criminal charge against any official in the Morris/Sussex County Vicinage as is my wife Kathryn also banned from having any municipal judge have any Probable Cause hearing as none are allowed nor are witnesses other them my wife allowed in municipal courts or even Trenton's Superior Court.

23. To remind the State of New Jersey's ACJC chair and its executive director of there official duties which it has ignored by its own breach of duty and outrageous, negligence, and conduct causing emotional distress to our entire community and our entire Vicinage including Mercer county by its gross negligence it should read court rule 1:38 Public Access to court records and administrative records for

as a Defendant I am denied access to having the audio file on a CD-ROM or having a copy of the transcript I paid needed in an Appellate court appeal on a CD ROM and Affidavits of Probable Cause witnessed by my wife in separate CDRs is ignored by New Jersey's Vicinage, its corrupt Assignment Judge and its Supreme court agencies. This is New Jersey a State of corruption, a fraternity of Judges, Lawyers, State and Municipal prosecutors who protect themselves and won't even allow a citizen to pay their county and municipal taxes. The ACJC appears grossly negligent not performing its official duties in over one year and has caused by its negligence continued criminal conduct, financial harm to our county, great suffering and emotional distress with prolonged sustained mental torture to my wife, father, mother, brother, sister-in-law, neighbors such as Mary Pawar and Iqbal Pawar as they were both attacked by the State of New Jersey officials, slandered and libeled and written as skills AFTER they performed their duty under Title 2C and reported the criminal conduct to the Sussex County Prosecutor's Office, the Office of N.J. Attorney General, the Office of the New Jersey's Attorney General's Department of Consumer Affairs' Board of Engineers in formal complaints 05-13.a and 05-13. b against the Stanhope bribed company John Cilo Jr. Associates and were willfully ignored as all witnesses on the petitions posted on the internet for the world to review and filed in its courts.

**R 2:15-8. Initial Review By State of New Jersey Advisory Committee on Judicial Conduct - ACJC**

(a) The Committee shall review any written statement, criticism, or grievance that is directed to the Committee and that contains allegations to the effect that a judge of the Superior Court, Surrogate's Court, Tax Court, or Municipal Court is guilty of:

- (1) misconduct in office,
- (2) willful failure to perform judicial duties,
- (3) incompetence,
- (4) intemperate conduct,
- (5) engaging in partisan politics, or
- (6) conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice that brings the judicial office into disrepute.

(b) The Committee shall also review allegations that any such judge may be suffering from a mental or physical disability that interferes with the performance of judicial duties

(c) On referral to the Committee by the Supreme Court, the Committee shall conduct such review concerning any Justice of the Supreme Court and proceed thereafter in accordance with these Rules subject to the terms of the referral.

(d) The Committee may review on its own motion any matters as set forth in (a) above in the absence of a written statement, criticism, or grievance.

(e) If the Committee determines that it requires additional information, it shall conduct a preliminary investigation.

(f) If the Committee determines that the allegations under review relate to an action or other matter that is properly the subject of an appeal, or if the Committee determines that there is not sufficient cause to warrant a preliminary investigation, it shall dismiss the matter and notify the person who submitted the statement, criticism, or grievance.

(g) In exceptional circumstances, the Chair or Vice Chair may authorize the commencement of a preliminary investigation.

24. My conclusion based upon my extensive in-depth seven year Case Study noted on my published web site Thomas Caggiano.com, many authored, widely distributed and court filed CAG Reports in two words describing the State of New Jersey: Abysmally corrupt. In one word: Treasonous.

25. Please send a copy of your reply to my home in Nevada and also my property in New Jersey, 10

East Drive, Stanhope, N.J. 07874, 973-347-4354 which I can not leave without a court order.

26. My newly registered domain is FreedomNewsDigest.com and email address is FreedomNewsDigest@live.com which will be used by me from the State of Nevada to expose the corruption in the Federal Department of Justice and over a hundred corrupt officials, judges, mayors, law firms, engineering firms in the world infamous state of pandemic hypocrisy - the Sin State: New Jersey.

27. Vast pandemic corruption is exposed in the Federal Department of Justice and the State of New Jersey's executive and judicial branches, counties and municipalities on my Federally Court filed web site which was also filed with the U.S. Attorney's Office E.D. Pa, the Sussex County Prosecutor's Office defined as the "AG", the State of New Jersey's Superior Courts. My most recent filing with N.J. Superior Court and Sussex County Prosecutor's Office was the web site index.htm dated Aug 4, 2009 on Aug 5, 2009. All files within the Offices of New Jersey's Attorney General and Newark FBI Claremont Tower filed there at the written direction to my by HQ, FBI are adopted as exhibits hereto by reference per R 1:4-3 under the below R 1:4-4(b) Certified Affidavit in lieu of oath.

28. Affidavit Certification: I, Thomas Caggiano, certify the foregoing statements made by me are true. If any of the foregoing statements made by me in the above letter and the adopted exhibits herein are willfully false I am aware I am subject to punishment.

29. As a result of prior death threats on file in the Borough of Stanhope's Sheriff's office after I testified in public against E.N.F. Development Co. LLC, Stanhope officials and State officials, having been jailed illegally for 85 days in Sussex County Keogh Dwyer Correctional Facility, fined thousands of dollars, been ordered to go report to Jail again on Aug 10, 2009 by the corrupt Judge Dana's second unconstitutional court order denying my civil rights in violation of Title 18, Sections 241 and 242 which have been reported by me to the Federal District Court, the U.S. Attorney's offices in Chicago, IL, Newark, N.J. and Philadelphia, Pa do to the fear of my wife caused by great mental injury to her and her physical illness at the recommendation of our physicians we have become citizens of another State and have changed locks on the new home we have bought, fixed minor leaks with Nv contraction contractors and plumbers, changed locks to our new home in North Las Vegas, Nv and have registered our new home State of Nevada with the IRS and Social Security Administration. My background is directly available at the url <http://ThomasCaggiano.com/tcb.htm>.

Very Sincerely,

Thomas Caggiano A citizen without civil rights  
Author and Publisher of ThomasCaggiano.com  
and FreedomNewsDigest.com  
Email addresses: thomas\_caggiano@yahoo.com  
and FreedomNewsDigest@live.com  
Global Cell Telephone 862-258-5915